

---

Please do not read anything into the kind of problems in the sample mid-term. Overall, the mid-term will be harder than this sample mid-term (but still easier than the homeworks). The main purpose of this sample mid-term was to give you an idea of the format of questions. Also you can get an idea of how much detail is expected from your answers in the exam from the solutions below.

---

2. (20 + 25 = 45 points) Given an array  $A$  of  $n$  integers, consider the the following algorithm that computes a related value (and an intermediate matrix  $B$ ):

For every  $i = 1, \dots, n$

For every  $j = i, \dots, n$

Assign  $B[i, j]$  to be the maximum value among  $A[i], A[i + 1], \dots, A[j]$ .

Output the minimum value among all values in  $B[i, j]$  (over all  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $j = i, \dots, n$ ).

- (a) Prove that the algorithm runs in  $O(n^3)$  time.

We are given an algorithm with two nested loops and some operations to perform for each iteration. The outside ( $i$ ) loop will perform  $n$  iterations, which is  $O(n)$ . For each of those iterations, the inside ( $j$ ) loop will perform  $n - i$  iterations, which is also  $O(n)$ . So regardless of what is going on inside the inside loop, this algorithm performs  $O(n^2)$  iterations. Visually, this should make sense, because we are filling in the upper triangle of the  $B$  matrix with values that we compute, and there are  $O(n^2)$  entries in the upper triangle. For each of these iterations, though, we find the maximum of  $j - i + 1$  (or  $O(n)$ ) terms from the  $A$  array. So we have  $O(n^2)$  iterations, each of which require  $O(n)$  comparisons (which can execute in  $O(1)$  time). Thus, the entire algorithm will execute in  $O(n^3)$  time.

- (b) Present another algorithm that solves the same problem but runs in  $O(n^2)$  time. (Briefly justify the running time and correctness of your algorithm.)

We can develop a more efficient algorithm by isolating and removing any unnecessary or duplicated operations from the given algorithm. To see where these exist, consider that for each iteration, we go back to the  $A$  array and found out the maximum of a bunch of entries, ignoring the fact that in the previous iterations, we've found the maximum of many of those same terms together already. Notice that  $B[i, i] = A[i]$  and for any  $(i, j)$  element of  $B$  for  $j > i$ , the entry in  $B[i, j]$  equals  $\max(B[i, j - 1], A[j])$ . This suggests the following algorithm:

Although the structure of the algorithm is similar to the previous one, we have only one comparison per iteration, instead of the earlier  $O(n)$  comparisons. So the time complexity function for this algorithm just depends on the number of iterations, which is  $O(n^2)$ . The running time is thus  $O(n^2)$ .

---

```

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$  do
   $B[i, i] = A[i]$ 
  for  $j = i + 1, i + 2, \dots, n$  do
     $B[i, j] = \max(B[i, j - 1], A[j])$ 
  end for
end for

```

---

**Alternate Solution.** The algorithm above actually computes  $B[i, j]$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $1 \leq j \leq n$  in time  $O(n^2)$ . Of course once we have computed all the  $B[i, j]$  one can compute the minimum value by going over all the  $n^2$  entries in  $O(n^2)$  time. However, we claim that

$$\min_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} B[i, j] = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} A[i].$$

This is true because  $B[i, j] = A[k]$  for some  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Further,  $B[i, i] = A[i]$ . These two facts imply the above equality. Thus one can compute the minimum  $B$  value by simply outputting the minimum value in the array  $A$  which one can do in  $O(n)$  time, which is of course also  $O(n^2)$  time.

3. (15 points) Let  $d \geq 1$  be an integer. Then a  $d$ -dimension *hypercube* is a graph whose vertex set is  $\{0, 1\}^d$ . (Note that this implies that  $n = 2^d$ .) Further, a pair  $(u, v)$  is an edge if and only if the binary representations of  $u$  and  $v$  differ in exactly one of the  $d$  positions.

- (a) (20 points) Figure out a function  $f(d)$  such that the  $d$ -dimension hypercube has a cycle of length at least  $f(d)$ . (You will get more points the larger the value  $f(d)$  is.) Briefly justify your answer.

(*Hint:* You can assume the existence of the *Gray code*, which for any  $\ell \geq 1$ , outputs an ordering of binary vector of length  $\ell$  such that one can go from one vector to the next one in the ordering by flipping exactly one bit.)

We claim that  $f(d) = 2^d$ , i.e. there exists a cycle that contains all the vertices in the graph. (Such a cycle is called a *Hamiltonian cycle*.) Let the Gray code ordering of the  $n$  vertices be  $v_1, \dots, v_n$ . We claim that  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, v_1$  is a cycle. To show this we must argue that  $(v_i, v_{i+1})$  and  $(v_n, v_1)$  are all edges. This is true by the definition of the Gray code and the hypercube. For  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ ,  $v_{i+1}$  can be obtained from  $v_i$  by flipping one bit, i.e.  $v_{i+1}$  and  $v_i$  differ in exactly one of the  $d$  positions and hence,  $(v_i, v_{i+1})$  is an edge. A similar argument shows that  $(v_n, v_1)$  is an edge.

- (b) (**Bonus**) (No points) A *cut* of a graph  $G = (V, E)$  is a partition of  $V$  into two sets  $S$  and  $\bar{S} = V \setminus S$ . The *value* of a cut  $(S, \bar{S})$  (denoted by  $E(S, \bar{S})$ ) is the total number of edges such that one end point is in  $S$  and the other is in  $\bar{S}$ , i.e. it is the number of edges “crossing” the cut. The *maxcut value* of  $G$  is

$$\max_{S \subseteq V} E(S, \bar{S}).$$

Figure out a function  $g(d)$  such that the maxcut value of a  $d$ -dimension hypercube is at least  $g(d)$ . Justify your answer. (To receive *any* credit for this problem, the function  $g(d)$  has to depend non-trivially on  $d$ —at the very least it has to be asymptotically bigger than  $d$ . An answer without any justification will not receive any credit.)

**If** you thought about this problem, contact us with your solution and we will be happy to talk about it.