### Lecture 34

CSE 331 Nov 26, 2018

# Mini project video has been graded

#### note 🕆

#### 15 views

### Mini project video grades and stats

I apologize for the delay on getting this but the mini project video has now been graded and scores released on Autolab.

Some important points before I go ahead:

- The peer evaluation part HAS NOT BEEN GRADED YET. That'll get done by the end of the week: please wait for a post when that is done (see next point).
- The video points will be used for the peer eval score so this one will have a short re-grade request: all regrade requests have to be sent to me by 5pm on WED NOV 28
- As promised in @749, I paused the video at the 3min mark if it was longer. Your submission was graded only on the first 3 mins

Here are the stats:

Problem	Mean	Median	StdDev	Max	Min
Video Quality	12.6	12.0	2.0	15.0	7.5

# Incentive to fill in course evals

#### note 🕸

### Incentive for filling in course evals

As I have done in the past few years, depending on the level of response on the official course evals, I will release come questions on the final exam. (See @975 to see what Q I mean below)

- If >=85% students submit the course evals, I will release Q1(a)
- If >=90% students submit the course evals, I will release Q1(a) AND Q2(a)

Some other relevant comments:

- · I will post the current response rate in the comments section below every 3 days till the deadline
- The % is based on current student registered (236): i.e. it does not include students who have resigned
- I believe this is the link to the course evals: https://sunyub.smartevals.com/
  - But double check the email you might have received on this.

#### feedback

## End of Semester blues



## Previous Greedy algorithm



## Weighted Interval Scheduling

Input: n jobs (s<sub>i</sub>, f<sub>i</sub>, v<sub>i</sub>)

Output: A schedule S s.t. no two jobs in S have a conflict

Goal: max  $\Sigma_{i \text{ in } S} v_j$ 

Assume: jobs are sorted by their finish time

## Today's agenda

Finish designing a recursive algorithm for the problem



## Couple more definitions



OPT(j) = optimal value on instance 1,...,j

## Property of OPT





## A recursive algorithm



## **Exponential Running Time**





# Using Memory to be smarter



## How many distinct OPT values?

## A recursive algorithm

M-Compute-Opt(j)

M-Compute-Opt(j) = OPT(j)

If j = 0 then return 0

If M[j] is not null then return M[j]

M[j] = max { v<sub>j</sub> + M-Compute-Opt( p(j) ), M-Compute-Opt( j-1 ) }

return M[j]

Run time = O(# recursive calls)

# **Bounding # recursions**



If j = 0 then return 0 If M[j] is not null then return M[j] M[j] = max { v<sub>j</sub> + M-Compute-Opt( p(j) ), M-Compute-Opt( j-1 ) } return M[j] Whenever a recursive call is made an value is assigned

At most n values of M can be assigned



### Property of OPT



### Recursion+ memory = Iteration

Iteratively compute the OPT(j) values

Iterative-Compute-Opt





## **Reading Assignment**

Sec 6.1, 6.2 of [KT]



### When to use Dynamic Programming



There are polynomially many sub-problems

**Richard Bellman** 

Optimal solution can be computed from solutions to sub-problems

There is an ordering among sub-problem that allows for iterative solution