## Lecture 4

CSE 331
Sep 4, 2019

## Please do keep on asking Qs!

The only bad question is the one that is not asked!

Not just technical Qs but also on how the class is run

## We're not mind readers



## If you need it, ask for help



## Read the syllabus CAREFULLY!

No graded material will be handed back till you pass the syllabus quiz!

## Syllabus Quiz

Acadenic integrity

## Separate Proof idea/proof details
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## TA office hours finalized

## TA office hours finalized
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## Office hours for proofs

This week Tue-Th office hours are for proots!
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## 1-on-1 appointments

## Appointments



## Instructions for booking appointments

One
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## Makeup recitations

## TODAY, 12-12:50pm in Davis 113A

TOMORROW, 11-11:50am in Davis 113A

## Sign-up for mini projects

Deadline: Monday, Sep 23, 11:00am

## CSE 331 Video Mir choices

## Fall 2019

## Questions/Comments?



## Peer notetaker request
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## Incorrect Proof Details: Q1(b) on

## Argument does not

 use ANYTHING about the problem statement!Base case: $P(1)=1!=1$ HWO

This assumes number of perfect matchings only depends on $n$

Inductive hypothesis: Assume that $P(n-1)=(n-1)$ !

Inductive step: Note that $P(n)=n * P(n-1)=n^{*}(n-1)!=n!$

## What are the issues with the above "proof"?

## Incorrect Proof Details: Q1(b) on

Claim 1: Number of perfect matchings is = number of permutations of 1...n

Claim 2: Number of permutations of $1 \ldots \mathrm{n}$ is n !

Claims $1+2$ prove the result
Needs justification

Follow from 191 (?)

## What are the issues with the above proof?

## Proof by contradiction for Q1(a)

Assume for contradiction there is an example where number of perfect matchings depends on the identities of the mu and women.

Let $\mathrm{n}=1$ and consider two cases
(1) $M=\{B P\}$ and $W=\{J A\}$
(2) $M=\{B B T\}$ and $W=\{A J\}$

You can only assume things about the example directly implied by it being a counter-example

In both cases the number of perfect matchings is $1=1$ !

Hence contradiction. There is NO contradiction

## What are the issues with the above proof?

## Questions/Comments?



## On matchings



## A valid matching



## Not a matching



## Perfect Matching



## Back to couple more definitions

## Preferences



## . <br>  <br> $\left(\mathrm{c}^{2} \mathrm{a}\right)$



## Instability



## -A stable marriage



## Two stable marriages
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## Stable Marriage problem



Stable matching $=$ perfect matching+ no instablity

## Questions/Comments?



## Two Questions

# Does a stable marriage always exist? 

If one exists, how quickly can we compute one?

## Today's lecture

## Naïve algorithm

Gale-Shapley algorithm for Stable Marriage problem

## Discuss: Naïve algorithm!



## The naïve algorithm

## Incremental algorithm to produce all $n$ ! prefect matchings?

Go through all possible perfect matchings $S$

If $S$ is a stable matching
then Stop


Else move to the next perfect matching

## Gale-Shapley Algorithm



David Gale


Lloyd Shapley


## Moral of the story...



## Questions/Comments?
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