

Oct 21

MergeSort(a, n)

If  $n=1$  return  $a_1 \leftarrow O(1)$

$$\lfloor 0.3 \rfloor = 0$$

$$\lceil 0.3 \rceil = 1$$

$$O(n) \begin{cases} a_L = a_1, \dots, a_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} & \leq T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) \\ a_R = a_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1}, \dots, a_n & \leq T(n - \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) \end{cases}$$

return MERGE( MergeSort(a<sub>L</sub>,  $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ ), MergeSort(a<sub>R</sub>,  $n - \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ ) )

max.  $O(n)$

$T(n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{runtime of MergeSort over ALL inputs of size } n$

$$T(n) \leq O(1) + O(n) + T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + T(n - \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + O(n)$$

$$\text{If } n=1, T(1) = O(1)$$

$$n > 1, T(n) = O(n) + T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + T(n - \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)$$

Rewrite  $T(n) = \begin{cases} O(1) & \text{if } n=1 \\ O(n) + T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + T(n - \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$

(By defn of Big O)

$$T(n) \leq \begin{cases} c_1 & \text{if } n=1 \\ c_2 n + T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + T(\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil) \end{cases}$$

[ $c = \max(c_1, c_2)$ ]

$$T(n) \leq \begin{cases} c & \text{if } n=1 \\ cn + T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + T(\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil) \end{cases}$$

Rule of thumb: for asymptotics of  $T(n)$

$$T(\lfloor cx \rfloor) = T(cx) \quad ; \quad T(\lceil cx \rceil) = T(cx)$$

$$T(n) \leq \begin{cases} c & \text{if } n=1 \\ cn + 2T(\frac{n}{2}) & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma:  $T(n) \leq cn \log_2 n + cn$

$\Rightarrow$  MergeSort runs in time  $O(n \log n)$

Some remarks:

- ①  $O(n \log n)$  best known upper bound for general algo.
- ② Can do faster if domain of the  $a_i$ 's is of size  $O(n)$   
(T/F on piazza  $a_i \in \{0, 1\}$ )
- ③ Can have faster runtime for "almost" sorted input.
- ④ Any comparison based ~~algo~~ algo for sorting takes  $\Omega(n \log n)$  comparisons.