

SEP 12

THEOREM: For every input $(n, M, W, 2n \text{ pref lists})$
the GS outputs a stable matching (!) $|M| = |W| = n$

\Rightarrow COROLLARY: Every input to the stable matching problem has a stable matching.
P.f: follows from THEOREM.

Pf of THEOREM

\rightarrow Say S is the o/p of the GS algo on an arbitrary input.
Want to argue: S is a stable matching

Lemma 1: For every i/p, GS terminates ($\leq n^2$ iterations)

Lemma 2: S is a perfect matching

Lemma 3: S has NO instability

Lemma 1 \Rightarrow THM.
1+2+3

SEP 14

Pf (idea) of Lemma 1: (Care package details)

(By algo def.)

In each iteration of the algo, there is a new proposal
 $w \mapsto m \quad w \in W, m \in M$

\Rightarrow #iterations = #proposals $\leq \# \text{ pairs } (w, m) = \int_{\substack{w \in W \\ m \in M}} |W \times M| = |W| \cdot |M| = n \cdot n = n^2$

Obs 0: S is a matching

Obs 1: One $m \in M$ gets engaged he remains engaged to better woman

Obs 2: If w proposes to m after m' $\Rightarrow m' > m$ in L_w

Lemma 4: If at the end of an iteration, w is free then w has NOT proposed to all men.

Pf idea of Lemma 2: CS is a perfect matching ^{Goal:}

Pf by contradiction (Use Obs 0, Lemmas 1+4, Algo def.)

Pf details Assume S is NOT a perfect matching

→ \exists a free woman w

Obs 0 + algo def.

→ \exists a man m that w has not proposed to yet — (#)

By Lemma 4

By Lemma 1, GS has terminated.

→ ~~all~~ all free women have proposed to all men

$\Rightarrow w$ has proposed to all men → contradicts (#)

Pigeon hole principle: If $\leq n-1$ pigeons are placed in n holes $\Rightarrow \exists$ one empty hole.

Pf idea of Lem 4: Pf by contradiction (Use Obs 1, PHP, Algo Def)

Pf details of Lemma 4: Assume \exists free woman w who has proposed to all men

→ at termination all n men are engaged — (#)

Algo def
Obs 1

Since w is free \implies $\leq n-1$ women who are
also dif engaged



PHP
hole :: man
pigeon :: woman

≥ 1 man who is free

$\implies \leq n-1$ men are engaged

\implies contradicts (#)